Beyond Traditional Credit Review: Forensic Red Flags In Private Credit - Hilco Global

August 2026

In private credit, value often disappears before default occurs. Recent market indicators suggest that many private credit borrowers are under increasing pressure. Growth has slowed from post-pandemic highs, while the percentage of borrowers reporting declining sales and EBITDA has increased during the past two years. Approximately 15 per cent of private credit borrowers are reportedly not generating sufficient EBITDA to cover interest payments. Regulators are also making the fraud lens more explicit. In May 2026, David Woodcock, director of the Division of Enforcement at the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), said the agency would continue targeting fraud and manipulation “in all its forms.” He identified liquidity, fees, valuations, and conflicts of interest as areas of concern in private funds and said the Division would remain active in matters involving fraudulent valuations and mismarking. Woodcock also singled out private credit, noting stress in some portfolios and broader developments the SEC is monitoring.

The big question

The practical question for lenders is no longer whether a borrower is merely stressed or may become stressed. It is whether the reporting package, collateral base, cash movement, and transaction structure are complete, accurate, and telling the truth before capital is committed or recovery options narrow. Traditional underwriting and credit monitoring are designed to evaluate reported performance and risk. Specialised forensic analysis addresses a different question: whether the information on which those judgments rely can be independently validated. That analysis moves beyond management-prepared reports and into evidence such as invoices, collections, borrowing-base support, lien records, loan tapes, servicer reports, bank activity, and the economic substance of side arrangements. In asset-backed and collateral-dependent structures, lender protection depends not only on cash flow, but also on whether pledged collateral exists, is eligible, is collectible, is controlled, and has not been overstated, redirected, or pledged elsewhere.

When private credit risks require specialised forensic review

The following framework conditions may warrant independent forensic validation.

These warning signs are not proof of fraud or impairment. Rather, they identify situations in which independent forensic validation may materially improve a lender’s understanding of risk in a more timely fashion.

Borrower reporting that cannot be independently reconciled to source records or prior-period activity.

Collateral or borrowing-base values that require validation against invoices, inventory, collections, lien records, or loan-level data.

EBITDA adjustments that materially influence leverage or debt-services conclusions but depend on assumptions rather than recurring cash performance.

Covenant waivers, payment-in-kind (PIK) conversions, deferrals, or amendments that may delay recognition or underlying liquidity pressure.

Side agreements, unusual terms, or off-book obligations that may change the economics of the credit.

Related-party activity that may move cash, assets, liabilities, or enterprise value outside the borrowing group.

Valuation marks or net asset value assumptions that may not reflect borrower-level deterioration or current recovery evidence.

Patterns suggesting manipulation, cash diversion, double-pledging, management override, or other conduct that cannot be explained by ordinary operating stress.

Reliability of Borrower Reporting



Warning signs may include delayed financial packages, unexplained changes in reporting formats, inconsistent operating metrics, unsupported account reconciliations, missing schedules, or information that cannot be reconciled to prior periods. These issues may indicate weakening internal controls, reduced management oversight, or limitations in the borrower's ability to provide reliable information. The concern is not simply whether a reporting package is delivered, but whether it is complete and accurately reflects the borrower's operating condition. Independent procedures may include tracing reported results to general ledger detail, bank activity, customer or vendor support, and other source records rather than relying exclusively on management representations. Recent market data indicates that some private credit-backed borrowers are experiencing elevated leverage and weakening operating performance. Nearly 30 per cent of companies with debt maturing before

year-end 2026 reportedly had leverage exceeding 10 times or negative EBITDA and had received an assessment score of ccc+ or below, underscoring the importance of identifying deterioration before recovery options become limited. These conditions increase the importance of evaluating the reliability of borrower reporting, because a highly leveraged borrower may have both the incentive and the need to present performance in the most favourable possible light.

Collateral and Borrowing-Base Integrity



Collateral concerns may arise when reported assets do not reflect economic reality. Examples include overstated accounts receivable, unsupported customer balances, slow-moving inventory presented as current, undisclosed restrictions on assets, or asset values inconsistent with business activity. In 26 real-world cases analysed by the Secured Finance Network's 2026 Fraud Task Force, accounts-receivable and billing manipulation appeared in approximately 58 per cent, inventory re-aging in 35 per cent and cash diversion in 12 per cent. Effective collateral review requires more than confirming reported balances. Borrower reports, inventory records, aging schedules, customer concentrations, and cash collections should be evaluated together, and, where appropriate, tested to third-party or transactional evidence. The objective is not only to verify reported asset values, but also to determine whether collateral exists, is eligible, is properly controlled, and can realistically be converted into cash if recovery efforts become necessary. Specialised forensic and operational due diligence can help lenders move from document-based review to more holistic, evidence-based verification. Procedures may include testing borrowing-base inputs against underlying invoices, customer remittances, inventory records, loan-level data, lien records, bank activity, and servicer reporting. This work can be performed during underwriting, through periodic monitoring, or when warning signs emerge. In asset-backed finance, the core question is not simply whether collateral is reported, but whether evidence confirms the lender's expected rights and recoveries.

Earnings Quality and EBITDA Adjustments





Adjustments may include projected cost savings, restructuring benefits, future growth initiatives, or other assumptions that have not yet been realised. While certain adjustments may be appropriate, reliance on optimistic assumptions can inflate earnings and reduce visibility into actual leverage. S&P Global found that add-backs averaged 29 per cent of management-adjusted EBITDA across the history of its study. Median leverage missed projections by 2.3 turns in the first year after deal inception and 2.7 turns in the second. Additionally, EBITDA addbacks can represent a substantial portion of management adjusted EBITDA, raising concerns regarding the quality and sustainability of projected earnings. When earnings quality declines, leverage metrics may appear stronger than the borrower's actual financial condition, delaying recognition of credit deterioration. Independent earnings quality analysis can test whether adjustments are supported, achievable, nonrecurring, and consistent with cash performance. Distinguishing recurring operating performance from one-time or speculative adjustments provides a more reliable assessment of debt service capacity and long-term financial sustainability.

Covenant Changes and Delayed Stress Recognition



Borrowers experiencing pressure may seek amendments, covenant waivers, technical interpretations, or negotiated relief to avoid default triggers. While these actions may provide temporary support, repeated covenant modifications may indicate declining financial flexibility. PIK structures implemented at origination may reflect a deliberate financing decision aligned with borrower needs; however, PIK conversions, interest deferrals, or similar modifications introduced after origination may, in certain circumstances, provide early evidence of liquidity constraints or emerging financial pressure that traditional default metrics may not capture.

Side Arrangements and Off-Book Obligations



Potential concerns include side agreements with customers or suppliers, undisclosed commitments, off-book obligations, unusual contractual terms, or arrangements that shift economic value outside normal reporting channels. These arrangements may affect cash flow, liquidity, leverage, collateral value, or covenant compliance and may cause reported financial information to differ from the borrower's actual economic position. Specialised review can compare executed agreements, correspondence, cash activity, and accounting treatment to determine whether the reported transaction economics are complete and accurate.

Related-Party Transactions and Value Leakage



Potential concerns include loans between affiliated entities, transfers of assets or liabilities, management or service arrangements, guarantees, or other transactions involving related parties. These arrangements may create conflicts of interest, shift value among entities, or affect the allocation of financial resources. For private credit lenders, understanding related-party activity is important when evaluating whether borrower resources, obligations, and financial relationships are accurately represented. Tracing cash flows, intercompany balances, contractual rights, and the economic substance of these transactions can help determine whether value has been transferred outside the borrowing group in a manner that could reduce lender recoveries.

Stale or Unreconciled Portfolio Valuations



At the fund level, the concern is a carrying value that has not caught up with borrower deterioration. A valuation mark is the value assigned to a loan; NAV reflects the value of the fund's assets after liabilities. Independent analysis can compare valuation assumptions with current cash performance, collateral trends, market evidence, amendment history, and recovery expectations. When those inputs no longer reconcile, reported credit quality may be overstated. Private credit has grown to nearly US$3 trillion in assets under management, yet portfolio performance is typically reported quarterly, creating a potential lag between changes in borrower conditions and investor visibility into underlying portfolio performance and credit quality.

When Credit Deterioration May Reflect Manipulation



The value of independent forensic validation is not limited to matters in which fraud has already been alleged. Unreliable reporting, unsupported collateral, aggressive addbacks, hidden agreements, and undisclosed related-party transfers may reflect ordinary credit deterioration, weak controls, or intentional conduct. The forensic question is whether the pattern can be explained by stress and poor processes, or whether management is manipulating records, overriding controls, diverting cash, double-pledging assets, or creating transactions without a legitimate purpose. Testing that distinction early, while records, cash trails, and third-party evidence are still available, can protect collateral and preserve the lender's available options.

Forensic analysis as private credit risk-management tool

The objective is not to investigate every borrower as though fraud has occurred. It is to incorporate independent validation into the credit lifecycle and to escalate when information cannot be reconciled, warning signs cluster, or the economic story no longer matches the evidence. A risk-based model may include:

Underwriting and prevention: define information and access rights before stress appears. Lenders can require reporting packages that include borrowing-base detail, loan-level data where relevant, bank and collection information, inventory support, related-party disclosures, amendment history, and access to records needed for targeted independent review. For higher-risk transactions, pre-closing forensic due diligence can help validate key information supporting the lending decision, while post-closing forensic review can round out the risk picture.

Monitoring and detection: test the facts, not just the ratios. Periodic or event-driven reviews can compare borrower reporting to source documents, customer remittances, lien records, servicer reports, bank activity, and operating data. The goal is to identify inaccurate reporting, collateral leakage, double-pledging risk, cash diversion, or other deterioration before liquidity collapses.

Response and recovery: move quickly when the story does not tie. Lenders can preserve records, increase reporting, conduct targeted forensic analysis, reassess collateral controls, trace value movement, engage with sponsors and advisors, and evaluate covenant or restructuring remedies while negotiating leverage remains.

Conclusion

Specialised forensic analysis is therefore not merely a post-default investigative tool to support claims against third-parties. Properly deployed during the underwriting, portfolio monitoring, and emerging distress phases, it helps lenders independently validate critical information before recovery options narrow. In modern private credit risk management, the lenders best positioned to preserve value are often those who corroborate independently and act before the borrower controls the only version of the facts.

**The views and opinions expressed are provided for general informational and educational purposes only and are subject to change without notice. This publication does not constitute investment, tax, or legal advice, nor should it be relied upon as a recommendation regarding any course of action. The information contained herein is provided "as is" without any representations or warranties as to its accuracy, adequacy, or completeness. While Hilco Trading, LLC has exercised reasonable care in preparing this publication, it assumes no liability for any actions taken or not taken based on its contents. Past performance is not indicative of future results.*

Contributors

Kristofer Swanson

Executive Director Global Investigations & Dispute Resolution Professional Services

View Bio

Andrew Bayer

Senior Director Global Investigations & Dispute Resolution Professional Services

View Bio

Jordan Kraner

Senior Director Global Investigations & Dispute Resolution Professional Services

View Bio

Hilco Global Asset Smarter Q3/Q4 Market Outlook - Hilco Global

July 2026

The second half of 2026 presents a combination of pressures that simply did not exist two years ago. What makes this cycle distinctly different is not a single shock but three converging forces that are simultaneously distorting collateral values, compressing borrower margins, and accelerating distress across the supply chains that lender portfolios serve.

The practical consequence for lenders is consistent across all three of these forces. The familiar signals that have historically flagged borrower stress are arriving later, or not at all, while the underlying exposure builds quietly. That dynamic is highly pronounced and consequential across the specific group of industries and sectors we examine below.

First, tariffs are no longer a just a negotiating tactic. Instead, they have become a permanent feature of the trade landscape. Twenty-five percent duties on steel and aluminum, sustained tariffs on Chinese manufactured goods, and retaliatory measures from Canada have baked a new cost basis into the inventories underpinning millions of Borrowing Base Certificates. The problem for lenders can best be described as asymmetric: tariff-elevated costs push eligible collateral values higher on paper, but Net Orderly Liquidation Values (NOLV) do not move in lockstep. The result is over-advancement that will not surface in many covenant-light facilities until a field exam forces the conversation.

Second, the Iran conflict has introduced the most significant global oil supply disruption in history. The near-total closure of the Strait of Hormuz, a chokepoint for approximately 20 percent of worldwide seaborne crude trade, has sent Brent crude surging well above pre-conflict forecasts, cascading into fertilizer, freight, and petrochemical feedstock costs. This is not a quarterly event. Energy infrastructure recovery timelines are measured in years, not quarters. Lenders with exposure to food, agriculture, grocery, automotive, and chemical borrowers should treat this as a multi-year margin compression, not a transient spike.

Third, the bankruptcy wave that crested through retail has migrated. Corporate filings hit a 14-year high in 2024, according to S&P Global Market Intelligence, and the distress that characterized consumer discretionary sectors through 2024 and 2025 has now taken root in industrials and specialty manufacturing. Importantly, this is an elevated but targeted correction rather than a systemic one. Targeted corrections, however, can still produce real losses in real portfolios.

Macro Pressure: The Forces Reshaping Collateral

Tariffs and The Borrowing Base Certificate Inflation Trap

Since March 2025, the United States has imposed a 25 percent tariff on all steel and aluminum imports, removing the exemptions that had previously shielded Canada, Mexico, the EU, and other key trading partners. According to Norton Rose Fulbright, these measures apply to a broader range of derivative products than earlier tariff regimes, capturing further-processed and manufactured goods containing steel and aluminum. Canada responded in kind, matching the 25 percent duty on $29.8 billion in U.S. imports. And as of mid-2026, Canada's counter-tariffs on steel, aluminum, and automobiles remain in force, with the Canadian government signaling that a near-term resolution is unlikely prior to the USMCA review cycle.

For asset-based lenders, the core risk is not the tariff itself, it is the distortion it creates in borrowing base certificates. When tariffs inflate the landed cost of imported inventory, they push up the eligible collateral value on the BBC. But liquidation values – the NOLVs that govern what a lender actually recovers in a distressed scenario – do not rise with cost. The result is a growing gap between what a BBC says a lender can advance and what that inventory would actually yield at auction. Covenant-light facilities are most exposed because they lack the field exam frequency and covenant triggers that would surface the divergence before a real credit event.

Lenders serving retail, manufacturing, chemicals, and metals borrowers should treat every BBC submitted in 2026 as a document that requires active scrutiny of the cost-to-NOLV ratio — not passive acceptance.

The Iran Conflict: A Multi-Year Commodity Shock

In late February and March 2026, U.S. and Israeli military operations against Iran, combined with Iranian retaliatory strikes on shipping and Gulf energy infrastructure, produced what the International Energy Agency described as the largest oil supply disruption in the history of global markets. According to the World Bank's April 2026 Commodity Markets Outlook, global oil supply fell by approximately 10.1 million barrels per day in March as attacks on energy infrastructure and restrictions on Strait of Hormuz tanker traffic took effect. Brent crude, which was expected to hover around $60 per barrel entering 2026 based on pre-conflict forecasts from Morgan Stanley, surged past $100 per barrel by mid-March before a temporary ceasefire provided modest relief.

Morgan Stanley Research now forecasts Brent to average $80 to $90 per barrel in 2026, and the World Bank's April baseline projects Brent in a range of $95 to $115 per barrel depending on how quickly the Strait normalizes. These are roughly 10 to 35 percent above pre-conflict levels. Critically, even an early diplomatic resolution carries a multi-year tail: as Morgan Stanley's Head of Commodity Research noted, production normalization lags logistics normalization by months to years.

The downstream effects are broad. Fertilizer, which is petrochemical-derived, has seen input costs

surge alongside crude oil. Freight rates on routes that previously transited the Gulf have been rerouted or repriced. For food, agriculture, and grocery borrowers, the Iran conflict has compressed farm margins to near-zero in many commodity categories. Equipment purchases are being deferred. Input costs that were already elevated from post-pandemic supply chain stress have now received a second shock that will not self-correct in a single fiscal year.

Many ABL portfolios now carry a hidden Hormuz-related exposure, because they have indirect sensitivity to the energy shock that won't show up when a lender simply sorts their portfolio by industry code. A food distributor, a fertilizer-dependent farmer, and a plastics manufacturer may all carry meaningful oil price risk in their cost structures, even though none of them would be flagged under a standard energy sector review."

The Migrating Bankruptcy Cycle

Corporate bankruptcy filings reached a 14-year high in 2024, with 694 filings recorded by S&P Global Market Intelligence, surpassing both the 635 filings in 2023 and the 638 during the pandemic-year peak of 2020. Consumer discretionary and industrial sector companies together accounted for 28 percent of all filings in 2024. The trend has accelerated: according to the American Bankruptcy Institute, commercial Chapter 11 filings surged 67 percent year-over-year in February 2026 alone.

The nature of the distress has shifted. Through 2024, the failure pattern was concentrated in retail — specialty formats, casual dining, and consumer brands caught between inflation-battered shoppers and their own elevated fixed-cost structures. In the twelve months ending June 30, 2025, manufacturing had become the highest-share sector for bankruptcy filings, accounting for 30 percent of all filings, according to research cited by The D&O Diary. Among mega-bankruptcy filers in that period, tariff impacts were among the most commonly cited drivers of distress — with auto parts suppliers and retailers explicitly referencing trade policy in their filings.

A 2025 roundup from the restructuring and bankruptcy practice at Mintz Law confirms the pattern, citing persistent high interest rates and increased debt servicing costs. At the same time evolving tariff policies raised expenses and disrupted supply chains, especially for industrial firms reliant on imported components. While not a systemic collapse, the current bankruptcy environment is a targeted, migrating correction that is now fully established in the industrial and specialty manufacturing sectors that define a large share of ABL collateral.

Sector Intelligence: Seven Industries, Real Data

The assessments that follow reflect intelligence gained through recent Hilco Global industry and sector appraisal and transaction work. Each assessment has earned its place here because the risk profile it presents to lenders and their borrowers is not just elevated but actively evolving in ways that

warrant closer attention heading into Q3 and Q4. These are areas where the intelligence gap between what a borrowing base certificate may show and what the underlying collateral would actually yield continues to widen, and where proactive lender engagement in the months ahead can make a measurable difference in determining portfolio outcomes.

1. AUTOMOTIVE

RISK LEVEL: ELEVATED

The U.S. new-vehicle market entered 2026 under meaningful pressure. Cox Automotive estimated the Q1 2026 annualized selling pace at approximately 15.5 million units; well below the 16-plus million SAAR the industry achieved in both 2024 and 2025. Cox is maintaining a full-year 2026 forecast of approximately 15.8 million units, representing roughly a 2.6 percent decline from 2025, with the loss of federal EV tax credits, elevated interest rates, and geopolitical-driven fuel cost uncertainty cited as the primary headwinds. J.D. Power and GlobalData pegged the March 2026 SAAR at 16.0 million. This is slightly above Cox's read for the month, but both organizations agree the market is operating below the prior year's inflated pace.

The Iran-driven fuel price surge adds a scenario variable that the market has not yet fully priced. Cox Automotive noted in its Q1 review that while higher fuel prices have historically accelerated EV consideration, rapid price increases can simultaneously suppress overall vehicle demand if affordability is already the dominant challenge; which it is in 2026. Despite rapidly increasing fuel prices, EV sales are forecast to be lower by 28 percent year-over-year in Q1, with hybrid demand from Toyota and Honda partially offsetting the decline. The net effect on dealer floor plan portfolios and OEM supplier receivables is directionally negative.

Lenders with dealer floor plan, OEM supplier, or EV-adjacent collateral should require updated NOLV guidance on all new credits and trigger reviews in existing facilities where inventory age or turn velocity shows deterioration.

2. RETAIL & CONSUMER PRODUCTS

RISK LEVEL: HIGH

Retail carries the highest BBC distortion risk of any sector in the current environment. Tariff-elevated cost basis could create artificial borrowing base headroom that is not correlated to realizable value. A borrower importing goods from China or sourcing through tariff-affected supply chains is booking landed costs that could be 25 to 50 percent higher than pre-tariff baselines. That cost inflation flows directly into the eligible inventory calculation on the BBC, assuming the BBC NOLV is calculated using landed cost. NOLV does not move in lockstep, however. Lenders who are not actively

reconciling cost basis to current liquidation data are, in effect, advancing against headroom that does not exist in a stress scenario.

Discretionary and specialty formats remain most vulnerable. The pattern established in late 2024 and continuing into 2025 – when Party City, Joann, Forever 21, and Claire's each filed for bankruptcy protection – has not reversed. Consumer spending on non-essential goods remains under pressure from cumulative inflation, depleted savings, and elevated borrowing costs. Resale, off-price, and value retail continue to outperform as consumers trade down, making these formats a relative bright spot within the sector.

For every retail facility in a lender's portfolio, the core question is whether the BBC assumption set has been updated to account for these headwinds, and whether the most recent appraisal was conducted against current market conditions or pre-tariff inventory valuations.

3. CHEMICALS

RISK LEVEL: ELEVATED TO HIGH

The chemicals sector is navigating a rare and punishing combination: structural overcapacity entering a rationalization cycle at the same moment that tariff-driven feedstock cost volatility is compressing operating margins. Petrochemical-derived inputs such as resins, solvents, and specialty compounds, are exposed to the Iran conflict's commodity shock through both natural gas and crude oil price linkages. The fertilizer subset of the chemicals industry is particularly exposed, with nitrogen-based fertilizer costs directly tied to natural gas pricing, which has moved sharply with the broader energy shock.

Lenders with chemicals borrowers should stress-test cash flows under multiple tariff and demand scenarios rather than relying on a single base case. The rationalization cycle in segments facing Chinese overcapacity, where state-subsidized production has created a structural cost floor below what domestic producers can match, suggests that certain product categories face multi-year margin compression independent of the cyclical energy cost shock.

Covenant compliance triggers and liquidity ratios deserve close monitoring in this sector.

4. METALS & INDUSTRIALS

RISK LEVEL: ELEVATED

Aluminum and steel landed costs are well above long-term averages, and the cross-border tariff structure has become genuinely complex. The U.S. 25 percent Section 232 tariff on aluminum and steel, now applied universally with no country exemptions as of March 2025, has been met with

Canada's reciprocal 25 percent duties on $29.8 billion of U.S. goods, including steel, aluminum, and automobiles. As Canada's government has made clear, those retaliatory tariffs on metals and autos remain in force with no near-term resolution in sight.

For lenders, the practical implication is that aluminum cost basis embedded in inventory positions reflects a significant premium to historical norms, and that premium is not reflected in liquidation values. A distributor or fabricator who purchased aluminum at post-tariff pricing is sitting on inventory that will yield less at NOLV than the BBC suggests. Hilco suggests lenders in this sector model both scenarios explicitly: what does this facility look like if tariffs remain sustained through the end of 2027, and what does it look like if a trade resolution materially lowers aluminum and steel input costs? Both outcomes carry risk: the former through margin compression, the latter potentially through inventory write-downs.

5. FOOD, AGRICULTURE & GROCERY

RISK LEVEL: ELEVATED TO HIGH

This sector sits at the direct intersection of all three macro forces described in Section I. Fertilizer costs, driven by petrochemical feedstock pricing linked to the Iran conflict's natural gas and crude oil shock, have surged. Fuel costs for farm equipment and food distribution logistics have risen sharply. Freight rates on import-dependent food supply chains are elevated. The cumulative effect is farm-level margin compression to near-zero in many commodity categories.

The behavioral signal that most concerns Hilco's appraisal teams is the deferral of equipment purchases. When farmers delay replacing or upgrading equipment, it is a leading indicator of cash flow stress, and it creates emerging weakness in equipment finance portfolios that may not yet be visible in standard portfolio reviews. U.S. total farm tractor sales for May 2026 fell 21.6 percent year-over-year and were down 12.4 percent on a year-to-date basis. Lenders serving agricultural equipment finance, farm operating credit, or food distribution should specifically review how much of their portfolio's underlying cash flow assumes input cost normalization that will not arrive within the projection horizon.

Grocery borrowers face a different but related pressure: consumer staples inflation has moderated from peak levels but remains structurally above pre-pandemic norms, and the Iran-driven energy shock is now flowing into food production costs in ways that will take multiple growing seasons to fully transmit through to retail shelf prices. Margin compression at the processor and distributor level is the near-term risk for ABL lenders in this space.

6. PULP, PAPER & FORESTRY

RISK LEVEL: MODERATE TO ELEVATED

Pulp, paper, and forestry occupy a different risk profile from the other sectors in this outlook — the primary pressure here is secular rather than cyclical. Digital substitution has been permanently reducing demand for certain paper grades, particularly uncoated freesheet used in office and commercial printing, for over a decade. That structural demand destruction does not reverse in an economic recovery.

The practical implication for lenders is that collateral assessment in this sector requires granular understanding of which product grades are being evaluated. Packaging and specialty papers continue to perform; commodity printing papers face structural headwinds. Equipment liquidation values in a paper mill reflect the specific machines and their alignment to current market demand, not a sector-level average. Lenders should ensure that their appraisal guidance distinguishes between secular and cyclical pressure at the product grade level, and that equipment valuations are current enough to reflect actual market conditions for specific asset types.

Canadian softwood lumber tariffs, which now stand at 10 percent, are in addition to the roughly 35% countervailing/antidumping duties. This brings the total tariff/duty rate to nearly 45% on shipments of softwood lumber from Canada to the U.S., adding an input cost dimension for forestry-linked borrowers with North American supply chains.

7. Higher Education

RISK LEVEL: ELEVATED TO HIGH

The higher education sector has been under enrollment and financial stress for years, but 2026 is producing signal events that lenders with campus-adjacent exposure should not ignore. In April 2026, Hampshire College, a private liberal arts institution in Amherst, Massachusetts, announced it will close permanently at the end of the fall 2026 semester. According to Inside Higher Ed and multiple subsequent reports, the closure followed enrollment declining to 747 students in fall 2025 from 842 the prior year, the collapse of a planned land sale, and the school's inability to refinance $21 million in bond debt. Hampshire's endowment had fallen to approximately $24 to $25 million by fiscal 2025, down from $54 million in 2019.

Hampshire is not an isolated case. In 2026, at least eight schools, including Hampshire, have announced plans to close. Seven private nonprofit colleges closed in 2025, following 17 in 2024. Broader research from the sector suggests the "fundamentals facing higher ed are challenging" even as 2026's closure count remains relatively moderate so far. The financial pressures are real and structural: declining enrollment, particularly at small liberal arts and religiously-affiliated colleges is not primarily cyclical. Moreover, many of these schools have often had to defer maintenance of their

facilities which will negatively impact disposition value.

The credit risk for ABL lenders is indirect but material. Commercial real estate lenders with campus property as collateral, student housing lenders, and ABL facilities secured by campus-adjacent retail or mixed-use assets should treat major institutional tenants, including universities, as anchor credit risks requiring active monitoring. An institution that loses accreditation or announces closure does not provide a graceful exit for a lender secured against the CRE that institution's presence was sustaining.

Two Added Threats Lenders Should Not Ignore

Cybersecurity: Now a Direct Credit Risk

Ransomware is now as much a credit problem as it is an IT issue. A ransomware attack on a borrower can impair receivables collection, freeze inventory processing systems, and interrupt debt service within hours. According to Verizon's 2025 Data Breach Investigations Report, ransomware appeared in 44 percent of all corporate breaches, with 88 percent of breaches at small and mid-sized businesses involving ransomware. GuidePoint Security reported a roughly 58 percent surge in claimed ransomware victims in 2025, with over 7,500 organizations listed on leak sites.

For ABL lenders, the specific vectors of damage are directly aligned with collateral structures. Ransomware attacks can freeze accounts receivable systems, preventing collection of the receivables that underpin a borrowing base. They can shut down warehouse management systems, making inventory impossible to ship or count. They can disable payment processing, interrupting both inflows from customers and debt service to lenders. In a covenant-light facility with a large revolver, the window between attack and a material credit impairment can be measured in days.

For facilities above material size thresholds, lenders should consider requiring borrowers to provide cyber hygiene attestations, incident response plans, and current cyber insurance confirmation as part of the annual review. For new facilities in high-exposure sectors such as retail, distribution and manufacturing, a cyber posture assessment should be required as part of pre-close diligence. Hilco Global Cyber Advisors can provide independent borrower cyber posture assessments as part of a comprehensive diligence package.

Geopolitical Volatility: Ongoing Portfolio Monitoring

Beyond the Iran conflict's direct impact on energy prices, three geopolitical dimensions warrant active portfolio consideration through the second half of 2026. The first is indirect portfolio exposure to the conflict in Iran via the second and third order effects of increasing energy crises and supply shocks. Sectors impacted include food distribution, agriculture, transportation and more. Roughly 40% of global urea trade and significant volumes of ammonia, sulfur, and phosphate move through the Strait,

directly pressuring input costs for agricultural borrowers ahead of the Northern Hemisphere planting season. War-risk insurance premiums have risen from roughly 0.2% of ship value to as much as 1%, with some insurers withdrawing coverage altogether, meaning elevated freight costs represent a sustained margin pressure for any freight-dependent borrower in the portfolio.

The second dimension is the Canada-U.S. tariff standoff on metals and automobiles. The Canadian government has explicitly signaled that its retaliatory tariffs on steel, aluminum, and automobiles are long-term tools that will remain in place as the trade relationship is renegotiated through the USMCA review process. Lenders with metals and automotive supply chain borrowers who have Canada-origin supply dependencies should model sustained-tariff scenarios through at least mid-2027.

The third is China supply chain concentration risk across manufacturing borrowers. The shift of some production from China to Vietnam, Bangladesh, and other low-cost manufacturing countries has been underway for several years, but the speed of that transition varies enormously by product category and borrower sophistication. Many of these alternative manufacturing hubs remain within China's sphere of influence and are dependent on the nation for intermediate goods, machinery and raw materials. For example, more than 70% of Chinese exports to Vietnam consist of intermediate goods, and Vietnam's imports from China have continued to rise throughout implementation of "China +1" policies, meaning supply chain risk has often shifted geographically without being materially reduced. Lenders should assess concentration risk not just at the borrower level but across clusters of borrowers in the same supply chain who may share common supplier dependencies.

Practical Considerations for Lenders

Tier Your Portfolio

Not every borrower in your portfolio requires the same level of engagement in the current environment. A disciplined portfolio triage based on sector exposure, BBC construction, and collateral type should produce three buckets:

Green (Healthy): Maintain standard appraisal cadence. Proactively share sector intelligence with borrowers. These are relationships to deepen through demonstrated value-add, not relationships to manage for minimum compliance.

Yellow (Watchlist): Increase appraisal frequency. Review BBC assumptions against current cost-to-NOLV ratios. Engage borrowers on contingency planning — not as a threat, but as a collaborative exercise that surfaces issues before they become defaults. Semi-annual appraisals should be the minimum for stressed retailers, chemicals companies, and metals borrowers.

Red (Stressed/Distressed): Trigger immediate field exam. Require updated NOLV on any material change in inventory composition, cost basis, or market conditions. Consider covenant amendments

proactively. For distressed credits, Hilco Turnaround Consulting, Investment Banking, and Capital Solutions can be engaged as a coordinated response.

Ask Your Borrowers the Right Questions

The value of these questions is what the answers reveal about how closely a management team is tracking the exposures that matter most to you as a lender:

To retailers: How has tariff-inflated cost basis changed your cost-to-NOLV ratio on current inventory positions? When was your most recent third-party NOLV appraisal conducted?

To automotive suppliers: What share of revenue is tied to OEMs with direct or indirect exposure to Iran oil price sensitivity? Have you modeled margin impact under $90-per-barrel and $110-per-barrel oil scenarios?

To chemical companies: Do your customer contracts allow tariff pass-through? What percentage of your feedstock input costs are directly linked to petrochemical pricing?

To food and agriculture borrowers: Can you sustain current operations if input cost pressure – fertilizer, fuel, freight – persists at current levels for 12 to 24 months? What is your equipment replacement cycle, and have you deferred any planned purchases?

To metals borrowers: Have you modeled both tariff-relief and sustained-tariff cost scenarios? What is your current inventory turn velocity versus the same period last year, and what does that imply about your BBC exposure?

Appraisal Cadence Adjustments

The current environment makes appraisal frequency a risk management tool, not an administrative requirement. Hilco Global suggests the following cadence guidelines for the second half of 2026:

Stressed retailers, chemical, and metals borrowers: semi-annual full appraisals at minimum. The tariff-driven cost basis shift is not static — it requires periodic revalidation against current market

data.

Distressed credits: quarterly field exams with NOLV updates triggered by any material change in inventory composition, pricing, or market conditions. The window between BBC distortion and a real credit event is narrower than it has been in years.

New credits in tariff-exposed sectors: require a current appraisal — conducted after the applicable tariff regime took effect — as a condition of closing. A pre-close estimate based on pre-tariff data is not an appropriate substitute.

For lenders that do not currently have a systematic process for distinguishing between current and pre-tariff appraisal vintages in their portfolio, that process should be implemented before Q3 borrowing base reporting begins.

Closing Thoughts: The Intelligence Advantage

The second half of 2026 will reward lenders who treat their portfolios as active intelligence problems rather than passive collateral management exercises. The three forces described in this outlook (tariffs, the Iran commodity shock, and the migrating bankruptcy cycle) are not temporary. They are structural features of the operating environment for the foreseeable future. The lenders who perform best will be those who get ahead of the BBC distortion, identify the hidden Hormuz exposure in their non-energy portfolios, recognize that the bankruptcy wave has migrated sectors, and use the current period of relative stability before a distressed event forces action, to update their appraisal vintages and have the hard conversations with their borrowers.

Contributors

Ian S. Fredericks

CEO of Capital Solutions (Americas) Hilco Global

View Bio

David Crawford

Managing Director
Head of Higher Ed./Faith-based/Not for Profit Real Estate Advisory Services Real Estate Brokerage Professional ServicesReal Estate

View Bio

Gary Dressler

Senior Director Inventory/M&E Appraisals Professional Services

Kevin Duffy

Senior Director
Hilco Valuation Services

Jesse Marzouk

Managing Director Inventory/M&E Appraisals Professional Services

Hon. Patrick J. Murphy

Executive Director - Geopolitical Advisory Professional Services

View Bio

Alexander Niejelow

Executive Director Global Cyber Advisors Professional Services

View Bio

Keith Spacapan

Senior Director
Hilco Valuation Services

Michael Sullivan

Senior Director Inventory/M&E Appraisals Professional Services